

Maren Toohill

From: cmsmailer@civicplus.com on behalf of Contact form at Littleton MA
<cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 05, 2019 12:02 PM
To: Maren Toohill
Subject: [Littleton MA] 195 Tahattawan Subdivision (Sent by Roger Osmond, rfo@amalasoft.com)

Hello mtoohill,

Roger Osmond (rfo@amalasoft.com) has sent you a message via your contact form (<https://www.littletonma.org/user/42/contact>) at Littleton MA.

If you don't want to receive such e-mails, you can change your settings at <https://www.littletonma.org/user/42/edit>.

Message:

Hello Ms. Toohill

Please log the enclosed communication to the planning board.

Thank you,

R

To: Town of Littleton Planning Board

From: Roger Osmond, 273 Harwood Ave

Dear Planning Board Members,

I have been a resident of Littleton for forty years, and I have been following with interest the process involving the proposed development at Healy Corner (a.k.a. 195 Tahattawan Subdivision).

There are many reasons why I and other citizens of Littleton have concerns about the proposal, and about the process. These concerns include, but are not limited to those expressed in the letter to the board from Mark Gallagher, dated Sunday, Nov. 3rd. Mr.

Gallagher's professional expertise serves to underscore the veracity of the points he makes in that letter, and in other communications.

The proposal calls for an unsuitable, and likely unsustainable density. The intent, as expressed in the proposal, includes also to build perilously close to wetlands and, indeed, to require crossing wetlands to enable that construction.

Wetlands proximity of those two units, in turn, appears to be the impetus behind including a common septic system. Introducing an element such as that, with its high modulus of failure, and impact to drainage, the nearby vernal pool, a water well, not to mention the impact to abutters, should elevate the level of concern by all parties involved.

The totality of issues expressed to date should be sufficient to warrant a rethink of the project as proposed, and a reset of the process.

I trust that the board shares our common desire to ensure that what is done is done in the best interest of the town, its ecosystems, and all of its residents, but a question remains. Why do all of the typical push-back issues, like density, wetlands crossings and encroachment, zoning violations, traffic impact, and such, not warrant more obvious resistance from the board?

The answer is not apparent from the posted materials certainly. It would be especially helpful, I feel, for the board to share with the citizens of Littleton their positions and actions, and the reasoning behind them, relative to this matter (and others in the future), while there is still an opportunity, as defined by Massachusetts law, for public input.

Respectfully,

Roger F. Osmond
273 Harwood Ave